

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,717	10/11/2005	Frederic Saunier	1512-66	7788
24106 7590 07/10/2008 EGBERT LAW OFFICES			EXAMINER	
412 MAIN STI	REET, 7TH FLOOR		ARYANPOUR, MITRA	
HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/535,717 SAUNIER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mitra Arvanpour 3711 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/25/2005.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

 Claims 1-6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miller et al (US2003/0060308 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Miller et al discloses a module for a playing area comprising at least two panels (20) of elastically deformable material having a curved surface and at least two orifices; at least one orifice enabling the installation of a removable fixing means (see figures 3 and 4); at least one ground fixing orifice (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 2, Miller et al further shows the at least two orifices have same axis of symmetry (cavity 27; see figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 3, Miller et al shows the at least two panels have three grounding fixing orifices (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 4, Miller et al shows the two panels further comprise at least two assembling orifices provided at a mid-position between two corners of the two panels.

Regarding claim 5, Miller ET at shows removable fixing means can be a stakes (see figures 3 and 4) or (handle 40, impact dampener 50 and handle 80; see figure 8), alternatively VELCRO can be used as a fastening means (see paragraph 0018).

Regarding claim 6, Miller et al shows the ground-fixing means includes at least one support cooperating with a counter weight (see figure 8).

Regarding claim 9, Miller et al shows the module is circular/cylindrical or alternatively pyramidal.

Regarding claim 10, Miller et al shows the module can be formed of any flexible resilient and airtight material such as plastic, rubber and the like and coated with polyurethane material (see paragraph 0036).

Regarding claim 11, note the rejection of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al (US2003/0060308 A1).

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Miller et al does not disclose expressly the inclusion of one or more targets on the outer panel of the module and the means for attaching the target to the module. The Examiner takes Official Notice that the inclusion of one or more targets on the outer surface of the module and utilizing various attachment means is old and well known and obvious to incorporate because it increases the difficulty level for hitting the particular target area when playing a game.

Double Patenting

5. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim11 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mitra Aryanpour whose telephone number is 571-272-4405. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,717 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mitra Aryanpour/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711 05 July 2007